**Reporting form C**

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE EVALUATION**

**(Submitted to SACS for each TI evaluated)**

**Profile of the evaluator(s):**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name of the evaluators** | **Contact Details with phone no.** |
| **W. Bimolata Devi** | **B-33, Street No.7, Dahsrathpuri**  **Palm-Dabri Road**  **New Delhi- 45**  **Phone no- 8014714363/+91 88026158014**  **Email id- bimwang0609@gmail.com** |
| **L. Ranjana** | **New Checkon Bazar**  **Imphal, Manipur**  **Phone no- +91 9862282021**  **Email id- raji\_nao@yahoo.com** |
| **R.K. Joyshri Devi** | **Keishamthong Thangjam Leirak, Imphal West, Manipur**  **Phone no- +91 8974043577**  **Email.com- joysh999@yahoo.com** |
| **Officials from SACS/TSU (as facilitator)** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name of the NGO:** | **Progressive People’s Organisation** |
| **Typology of the target population:** | **Female Injecting Drug Users (FIDU)** |
| **Total population being covered against target:** | **168** |
| **Dates of Visit**: | **27,28,29 October 2015** |
| **Place of Visit:** | **DIC of FIDU Project, Progressive People’s Organisation Churachandpur District, Manipur** |

**Overall Rating based programme delivery score:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Total Score Obtained (in %)** | **Category** | **Rating** | **Recommendations** |
| **64.7%** | B | Good | Recommendation for continuation |

**Specific Recommendations:**

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Awareness of the HRGs towards the project activities-  * 39% of the HRGs met said that they never attend DIC and get services as they do not inject at present. They collect condoms from the PE s or buy from the pharmacies when they need @ Rs. 5/- per piece. * None of the HRGs met was aware of the crisis team. * Follow up of the programmes and action taken report was missing in all the meeting report. * The HRGs interacted said that they are aware that they should seek help from the other NGOs like LRRC, SHALOM but not PPO when there is overdose case found.   The registered HRGs should be sensitized about all the services available in the project. DIC meeting and demand generation meeting should focus on such issues related with providing information about the services available in the project.   1. 2 PEs out of 4 are not confident in talking about programme. They maintain diaries but only with the daily achievement which is submitted to the ORW. However, they were not able to explain the prioritization of HRGs and data use process in the project. They need handholding training at the field and DIC level. 2. The project staff had difficult time to reach the HRGs during the crisis happened among different general community in the project area. During the tensed period in the month of September 2015, the DIC was opened for a very short period of time (9:00 am- 10:00 am). The stakeholders interacted were also not aware of the project activities how those were executed during the period. Being high tensed area for the HRGs at present, the project should focus on planning and execution of strong advocacy programmes with the stakeholders. There was no such plan although the project had faced problems during the period of high tension. 3. The stakeholders interacted shared that they were involved in the programmes being conducted. Two of them also suggested involving them in addressing the issues. They were aware of the condom and NSP but no other services. It is suggested to improve Awareness Programmes to the general community using different medium. 4. All the HRGs interacted shared that they want to stop. However, they said that the medicine is not suited to the individual. Since the OST centre is already opened in the district hospital, the HRGs should be given proper counseling and information for availing the services of OST. 5. There are different numbers of HRGs on OST at the DIC and OST centre. The project staff should motivate and convince the concerned staffs on OST Centre on the importance of documentation and reporting. Referral mechanism should be improved. 6. Documentation of crisis happened and advocacy programme has no linkages. Advocacy meeting was conducted as per plan. However, the documentation was not clear about the objective of the programme. It was verbally reported that the project staffs are given internal training from time to time in addition to the trainings organized by project concerned authorities. It is strongly suggested to improve the documentation and reporting skills among the project staff. |

**Name of the evaluators Signature**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **W. Bimolata Devi** |  |
| **L. Ranjana** |  |
| **R. K. Joyshri Devi** |  |

**People’s Organisation, Churachandpur District**

The district has been in high tension since September 2015 for ILP issues in the state. The project staffs have been facing problems in outreach services for the HRGs. It was reported that the DIC was opened for very short hours during the bandhs and general strikes happened for nearly a month. During those days, the PEs took the necessary commodities to reach the HRGs. All the prescribed formats were found accurately filled. The project could meet the demand of condoms and N/S even during the days of tension. The PD involves in the review meetings once in a month. The project reached higher number of HRGs against the target ( 168/150)